

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/058,128	01/29/2002	Takashi Takeda	Q68254	3482	
75	990 05/12/2003				
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			KOSLOW, O	KOSLOW, CAROL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755		
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·			
7	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	_	10/058,128	TAKEDA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		C. Melissa Koslow	1755			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover she t w	ith the correspondence address			
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. osition of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.				
5)🖂	Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)[Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ d	lisapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.				
12) 🗌 -	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ε	Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	pplication No			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
	☐ The translation of the foreign language p		-			
15) 🗌 🔏	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			

Application/Control Number: 10/058,128

Art Unit: 1755

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure, statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892 or by applicants on Form 1449, they have not been considered.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Verlijsdonk et al.

Example 15 teaches a phosphor comprising barium, yttrium, gadolinium, boron and oxygen and activated by terbium. The reference clearly teaches the claimed phosphor.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter perfains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verlijsdonk et al.

Art Unit: 1755

This reference teaches a terbium activated phosphor having the formula M_3Ln_{1-x} $Tb_x(BO_3)_3$, where M can be Ba and Ln can be Gd and Y. Thus this reference suggests the claimed phosphor.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fouassier et al.

This reference teaches a phosphor having the formula M(II)Ce_{p1}M_{p2}Tb_rB₉O₁₆, where M(II) can be Ba, M can be Gd and Y, r is 0.1-0.9, p1 is 0.1-0.9 and p2=p-p1, where p is greater than 0 to 1 and r+p is 1. The taught formula overlaps that claimed. Product claims with numerical ranges which overlap prior art ranges were held to have been obvious under 35 USC 103. *In re Wertheim* 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Malagari* 182 USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974); *In re Fields* 134 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1962); *In re Nehrenberg* 126 USPQ 383 (CCPA 1960). The reference suggests the claimed phosphor.

Claim 4 is allowable over the cited art of record. There is no teaching or suggestion of a vacuum ultra-violet radiation exciting light emitting element comprising the claimed phosphor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (703) 308-3817. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at (703) 308-3823.

The fax number for Amendments filed under 37 CFR 1.116 or After Final communications is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for all other official communications is (703) 872-9310.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,128

Art Unit: 1755

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661 or (703) 308-0662.

cmk May 9, 2003 C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700